## Package: snfa (via r-universe)

August 28, 2024

Title Smooth Non-Parametric Frontier Analysis

Version 0.0.1.9000

Description Fitting of non-parametric production frontiers for use in efficiency analysis. Methods are provided for both a smooth analogue of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and a non-parametric analogue of Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA). Frontiers are constructed for multiple inputs and a single output using constrained kernel smoothing as in Racine et al. (2009), which allow for the imposition of monotonicity and concavity constraints on the estimated frontier.

Maintainer Taylor McKenzie <tkmckenzie@gmail.com>

**Depends**  $R (= 3.5.0)$ 

- **Imports** abind ( $>= 1.4.5$ ), prodlim ( $>= 2018.4.18$ ), quadprog ( $>= 1.5.5$ ), rootSolve  $(>= 1.7)$
- **Suggests** ggplot2 ( $>= 3.1.0$ ), knitr ( $>= 1.20$ ), lpSolve ( $>= 5.6.13$ ), Rdpack (>= 0.10.1), rmarkdown (>= 1.10)

VignetteBuilder knitr

License GPL-3

Encoding UTF-8

LazyData true

RoxygenNote 6.1.1

RdMacros Rdpack

Repository https://tkmckenzie.r-universe.dev

RemoteUrl https://github.com/tkmckenzie/snfa

RemoteRef HEAD

RemoteSha 457097e7f24abd87c04c4f4851f5bb69a46632ac

## **Contents**

allocative.efficiency . [2](#page-1-0)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2 allocative.efficiency



allocative.efficiency *Allocative efficiency estimation*

### Description

Fits frontier to data and estimates technical and allocative efficiency

#### Usage

```
allocative.efficiency(X, y, X.price, y.price, X.constrained = NA,
 H.inv = NA, H.mult = 1, model = "br", method = "u",
  scale.constraints = TRUE)
```
### Arguments



#### allocative.efficiency 3

#### Details

This function estimates allocative inefficiency using the methodology in McKenzie (2018). The estimation process is a non-parametric analogue of Schmidt and Lovell (1979). First, the frontier is fit using either a boundary regression or stochastic frontier as in Racine et al. (2009), from which technical efficiency is estimated. Then, gradients and price ratios are computed for each observation and compared to determine the extent of misallocation. Specifically, log-overallocation is computed as

$$
\log\left(\frac{w_i^j}{p_i}\right) - \log\left(\phi_i \frac{\partial f(x_i)}{\partial x^j}\right),\,
$$

where  $\phi_i$  is the efficiency of observation i,  $\partial f(x_i)/\partial x^j$  is the marginal productivity of input j at observation i,  $w_i^j$  is the cost of input j for observation i, and  $p_i$  is the price of output for observation i.

#### Value

Returns a list with the following elements



#### References

Aigner D, Lovell CK, Schmidt P (1977). "Formulation and estimation of stochastic frontier production function models." *Journal of econometrics*, 6(1), 21–37.

McKenzie T (2018). "Semi-Parametric Estimation of Allocative Inefficiency Using Smooth Non-Parametric Frontier Analysis." Working Paper.

Racine JEFFREYS, Parmeter CHRISTOPHERF, Du P (2009). "Constrained nonparametric kernel regression: Estimation and inference." Working paper.

Schmidt P, Lovell CK (1979). "Estimating technical and allocative inefficiency relative to stochastic production and cost frontiers." *Journal of econometrics*, 9(3), 343–366.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### Examples

```
data(USMacro)
USMacro <- USMacro[complete.cases(USMacro),]
# Extract data
X <- as.matrix(USMacro[,c("K", "L")])
y <- USMacro$Y
X.price <- as.matrix(USMacro[,c("K.price", "L.price")])
y.price <- rep(1e9, nrow(USMacro)) #Price of $1 billion of output is $1 billion
# Run model
efficiency.model <- allocative.efficiency(X, y,
                                          X.price, y.price,
                                          X.constrained = X,
                                          model = "br",method = "mc")# Plot technical/allocative efficiency over time
library(ggplot2)
technical.df <- data.frame(Year = USMacro$Year,
                           Efficiency = efficiency.model$technical.efficiency)
ggplot(technical.df, aes(Year, Efficiency)) +
  geom_line()
allocative.df <- data.frame(Year = rep(USMacro$Year, times = 2),
                         log.overallocation = c(efficiency.model$log.overallocation[,1],
                                               efficiency.model$log.overallocation[,2]),
                            Variable = rep(c("K", "L"), each = nrow(USMacro)))ggplot(allocative.df, aes(Year, log.overallocation)) +
  geom_line(aes(color = Variable))
# Estimate average overallocation across sample period
lm.model \leq lm(log-over allocation \leq 0 + Variable, allocateive.df)summary(lm.model)
```
dea *Data envelopment analysis*

#### Description

Basic data envelopment analysis to replace rDEA::dea due to difficulties installing rDEA on travisci

dea 55 anis 1992 and 2008 and 2009 and 200

#### Usage

dea(XREF, YREF, X, Y, model = "output", RTS = "variable")

#### Arguments



#### Details

This function estimates efficiency using data envelopment analysis. The linear program is constructed as in Fare et al. (1985) and optimized using lpSolve::lp. The function was built to swap with rDEA::dea because installation of rDEA presented problems for travis-ci, due to difficulties installing glpk. Should installation of rDEA on travis-ci become possible, this function will be removed.

#### Value

Returns a list with the following components



#### References

Fare R, Grosskopf S, Lovell CA (1985). *The Measurement of Efficiency in Production*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

```
data(univariate)
dea.fit <- dea(univariate$x, univariate$y,
               univariate$x, univariate$y,
              model = "output",
              RTS = "variable")
univariate$frontier <- univariate$y / dea.fit$thetaOpt
```

```
# Plot technical/allocative efficiency over time
library(ggplot2)
ggplot(univariate, aes(x, y)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_line(aes(y = frontier), color = "red")
```
fit.boundary *Multivariate smooth boundary fitting with additional constraints*

### Description

Fits boundary of data with kernel smoothing, imposing monotonicity and/or concavity constraints.

#### Usage

```
fit.boundary(X.eval, y.eval, X.bounded, y.bounded, X.constrained = NA,
 X.fit = NA, y.fit.observed = NA, H.inv = NA, H.mult = 1,
 method = "u", scale.constraints = TRUE)
```
#### Arguments



#### fit.boundary 7

#### Details

This method fits a smooth boundary of the data (with all data points below the boundary) while imposing specified monotonicity and concavity constraints. The procedure is derived from Racine et al. (2009), which develops kernel smoothing methods with bounding, monotonicity and concavity constraints. Specifically, the smoothing procedure involves finding optimal weights for a Nadaraya-Watson estimator of the form

$$
\hat{y} = m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i A(x, x_i) y_i,
$$

where  $x$  are inputs,  $y$  are outputs,  $p$  are weights, subscripts index observations, and

$$
A(x, x_i) = \frac{K(x, x_i)}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} K(x, x_h)}
$$

for a kernel  $K$ . This method uses a multivariate normal kernel of the form

$$
K(x, x_h) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_h)'H^{-1}(x - x_h)\right),
$$

where  $H$  is a bandwidth matrix. Bandwidth selection is performed via Silverman's (1986) rule-ofthumb, in the function H.inv.select.

Optimal weights  $\hat{p}$  are selected by solving the quadratic programming problem

$$
\min_p \quad -\mathbf{1}'p + \frac{1}{2}p'p.
$$

This method always imposes bounding constraints as specified points, given by

$$
m(x_i) - y_i = \sum_{h=1}^{N} p_h A(x_i, x_h) y_h - y_i \ge 0 \quad \forall i.
$$

Additionally, monotonicity constraints of the following form can be imposed at specified points:

$$
\frac{\partial m(x)}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{h=1}^N p_h \frac{\partial A(x, x_h)}{\partial x^j} y_h \ge 0 \quad \forall x, j,
$$

where superscripts index inputs. Finally concavity constraints of the following form can also be imposed using Afriat's (1967) conditions:

$$
m(x) - m(z) \le \nabla_x m(z) \cdot (x - z) \quad \forall x, z.
$$

The gradient of the frontier at a point  $x$  is given by

$$
\nabla_x m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{p}_i \nabla_x A(x, x_i) y_i,
$$

where  $\hat{p}_i$  are estimated weights.

#### Value

Returns a list with the following elements



#### References

Racine JEFFREYS, Parmeter CHRISTOPHERF, Du P (2009). "Constrained nonparametric kernel regression: Estimation and inference." Working paper.

```
data(univariate)
# Set up data for fitting
X <- as.matrix(univariate$x)
y <- univariate$y
N.fit <- 100
X.fit \leq a s.matrix(seq(min(X), max(X), length.out = N.fit))# Reflect data for fitting
reflected.data <- reflect.data(X, y)
X.eval <- reflected.data$X
y.eval <- reflected.data$y
# Fit frontiers
frontier.u <- fit.boundary(X.eval, y.eval,
                           X.bounded = X, y.bounded = y,
                           X.constrained = X.fit,
                           X.fit = X.fit,method = "u")frontier.m <- fit.boundary(X.eval, y.eval,
                           X.bounded = X, y.bounded = y,
                           X.constrained = X.fit,
                           X.fit = X.fit,method = "m")
```
#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>fit.mean 9

```
frontier.mc <- fit.boundary(X.eval, y.eval,
                            X.bounded = X, y.bounded = y,
                            X.constrained = X.fit,
                            X.fit = X.fit,method = "mc")# Plot frontier
library(ggplot2)
frontier.df \leq data.frame(x = rep(X.fit, times = 3),
                          y = c(frontier.u$y.fit, frontier.m$y.fit, frontier.mc$y.fit),
                          model = rep(c("u", "m", "mc"), each = N.fit))ggplot(univariate, aes(x, y)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_line(data = frontier.df, aes(color = model))
# Plot slopes
slope.df \leq data.frame(x = rep(X.fit, times = 3),
                       slope = c(frontier.u$gradient.fit,
                                 frontier.m$gradient.fit,
                                 frontier.mc$gradient.fit),
                       model = rep(c("u", "m", "mc"), each = N.fit))ggplot(slope.df, aes(x, slope)) +
  geom_line(aes(color = model))
```
fit.mean *Kernel smoothing with additional constraints*

#### Description

Fits conditional mean of data with kernel smoothing, imposing monotonicity and/or concavity constraints.

#### Usage

```
fit.mean(X.eval, y.eval, X.constrained = NA, X.fit = NA, H.inv = NA,
 H.mult = 1, method = "u", scale.constraints = TRUE)
```
#### Arguments





by their average value, can help  $\theta$ vergence

#### Details

This method uses kernel smoothing to fit the mean of the data while imposing specified monotonicity and concavity constraints. The procedure is derived from Racine et al. (2009), which develops kernel smoothing methods with bounding, monotonicity and concavity constraints. Specifically, the smoothing procedure involves finding optimal weights for a Nadaraya-Watson estimator of the form

$$
\hat{y} = m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i A(x, x_i) y_i,
$$

where  $x$  are inputs,  $y$  are outputs,  $p$  are weights, subscripts index observations, and

$$
A(x, x_i) = \frac{K(x, x_i)}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} K(x, x_h)}
$$

for a kernel  $K$ . This method uses a multivariate normal kernel of the form

$$
K(x, x_h) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_h)'H^{-1}(x - x_h)\right),
$$

where  $H$  is a bandwidth matrix. Bandwidth selection is performed via Silverman's (1986) rule-ofthumb, in the function H.inv.select.

Optimal weights  $\hat{p}$  are selected by solving the quadratic programming problem

$$
\min_p -1'p + \frac{1}{2}p'p.
$$

Monotonicity constraints of the following form can be imposed at specified points:

$$
\frac{\partial m(x)}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{h=1}^N p_h \frac{\partial A(x, x_h)}{\partial x^j} y_h \ge 0 \quad \forall x, j,
$$

where superscripts index inputs. Finally concavity constraints of the following form can also be imposed using Afriat's (1967) conditions:

$$
m(x) - m(z) \le \nabla_x m(z) \cdot (x - z) \quad \forall x, z.
$$

The gradient of the estimated curve at a point  $x$  is given by

#### fit.mean 11

$$
\nabla_x m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{p}_i \nabla_x A(x, x_i) y_i,
$$

where  $\hat{p}_i$  are estimated weights.

#### Value

Returns a list with the following elements



#### References

Racine JEFFREYS, Parmeter CHRISTOPHERF, Du P (2009). "Constrained nonparametric kernel regression: Estimation and inference." Working paper.

```
data(USMacro)
USMacro <- USMacro[complete.cases(USMacro),]
# Extract data
X <- as.matrix(USMacro[,c("K", "L")])
y <- USMacro$Y
# Reflect data for fitting
reflected.data <- reflect.data(X, y)
X.eval <- reflected.data$X
y.eval <- reflected.data$y
# Fit frontier
fit.mc <- fit.mean(X.eval, y.eval,
                  X.constrained = X,
                  X.fit = X,method = "mc")# Plot input productivities over time
library(ggplot2)
plot.df <- data.frame(Year = rep(USMacro$Year, times = 2),
```

```
Elasticity = c(fit.mc$gradient.fit[,1] * X[,1] / y,
                                     fit.mc$gradient.fit[,2] * X[,2] / y),
                      Variable = rep(c("Capital", "Labor"), each = nrow(USMacro)))
ggplot(plot.df, aes(Year, Elasticity)) +
 geom_line() +
 facet_grid(Variable ~ ., scales = "free_y")
```
fit.sf *Non-parametric stochastic frontier*

#### Description

Fits stochastic frontier of data with kernel smoothing, imposing monotonicity and/or concavity constraints.

#### Usage

```
fit.sf(X, y, X.constrained = NA, H.inv = NA, H.mult = 1,
 method = "u", scale.constraints = TRUE)
```
#### Arguments



#### Details

This method fits non-parametric stochastic frontier models. The data-generating process is assumed to be of the form

$$
\ln y_i = \ln f(x_i) + v_i - u_i,
$$

where  $y_i$  is the *i*th observation of output, f is a continuous function,  $x_i$  is the *i*th observation of input,  $v_i$  is a normally-distributed error term  $(v_i \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2))$ , and  $u_i$  is a normally-distributed error term truncated below at zero ( $u_i \sim N^+(0, \sigma_u)$ ). Aigner et al. developed methods to decompose  $\varepsilon_i = v_i - u_i$  into its basic components.

This procedure first fits the mean of the data using fit.mean, producing estimates of output  $\hat{y}$ . Log-proportional errors are calculated as

$$
\varepsilon_i = \ln(y_i/\hat{y}_i).
$$

Following Aigner et al. (1977), parameters of one- and two-sided error distributions are estimated via maximum likelihood. First,

$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i^2.
$$

Then,  $\hat{\lambda}$  is estimated by solving

$$
\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i \hat{y}_i + \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\hat{\sigma}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{f_i^*}{1 - F_i^*} y_i = 0,
$$

where  $f_i^*$  and  $F_i^*$  are standard normal density and distribution function, respectively, evaluated at  $\varepsilon_i \hat{\lambda} \hat{\sigma}^{-1}$ . Parameters of the one- and two-sided distributions are found by solving the identities

$$
\sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2
$$

$$
\lambda = \frac{\sigma_u}{\sigma_v}.
$$

Mean efficiency over the sample is given by

$$
\exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)\sigma_u,
$$

and modal efficiency for each observation is given by

$$
-\varepsilon(\sigma_u^2/\sigma^2).
$$

Value

Returns a list with the following elements



<span id="page-13-0"></span>

#### References

Aigner D, Lovell CK, Schmidt P (1977). "Formulation and estimation of stochastic frontier production function models." *Journal of econometrics*, 6(1), 21–37.

Racine JEFFREYS, Parmeter CHRISTOPHERF, Du P (2009). "Constrained nonparametric kernel regression: Estimation and inference." Working paper.

#### Examples

```
data(USMacro)
USMacro <- USMacro[complete.cases(USMacro),]
# Extract data
X <- as.matrix(USMacro[,c("K", "L")])
y <- USMacro$Y
# Fit frontier
fit.sf \le fit.sf(X, y,
                 X.constrained = X,
                 method = "mc")print(fit.sf$mean.efficiency)
# [1] 0.9772484
# Plot efficiency over time
library(ggplot2)
plot.df <- data.frame(Year = USMacro$Year,
                      Efficiency = fit.sf$mode.efficiency)
ggplot(plot.df, aes(Year, Efficiency)) +
 geom_line()
```

```
H.inv.select Bandwidth matrix selection
```
#### Description

Computes inverse of bandwidth matrix using rule-of-thumb from Silverman (1986).

#### H.inv.select 15

#### Usage

H.inv.select(X, H.mult = 1)

#### Arguments



#### Details

This method performs selection of (inverse) multivariate bandwidth matrices using Silverman's (1986) rule-of-thumb. Specifically, Silverman recommends setting the bandwidth matrix to

$$
H_{jj}^{1/2} = \left(\frac{4}{M+2}\right)^{1/(M+4)} \times N^{-1/(M+4)} \times \text{sd}(x^j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, ..., M
$$
  

$$
H_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{for } a \neq b
$$

where M is the number of inputs, N is the number of observations, and  $sd(x^j)$  is the sample standard deviation of input  $j$ .

#### Value

Returns inverse bandwidth matrix

#### References

Silverman BW (1986). *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis*, volume 26. CRC press.

#### Examples

data(USMacro)

```
USMacro <- USMacro[complete.cases(USMacro),]
# Extract data
X <- as.matrix(USMacro[,c("K", "L")])
# Generate bandwidth matrix
print(H.inv.select(X))
# [,1] [,2]
# [1,] 3.642704e-08 0.000000e+00
# [2,] 0.000000e+00 1.215789e-08
```
<span id="page-15-0"></span>

#### Description

A dataset for illustrating technical and efficiency changes using smooth non-parametric frontiers.

#### Usage

panel.production

#### Format

A data frame with 200 observations of six variables.

Firm Firm identifier Year Year of observation X.1 Input 1 X.2 Input 2 X.3 Input 3 y Output

#### Details

Generated with the following code:

```
set.seed(100)
num.firms <- 20
num.inputs <- 3
num.years <- 10
beta <- runif(num.inputs, 0, 1)
TFP.trend = 0.25TFP <- cumsum(rnorm(num.years)) + TFP.trend * (1:num.years)
sd.measurement <- 0.05
sd.inefficiency <- 0.01
f \leftarrow function(X){
  return(TFP + X
}
gen.firm.data <- function(i){
 X = matrix(runif(num.years * num.inputs, 1, 10), ncol = num.inputs)y = f(X) +rnorm(num.years, sd = sd.measurement) -
```
#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>reflect.data and 17

```
abs(rnorm(num.years, sd = sd.inefficiency))
  firm.df <- data.frame(Firm = i,
                        Year = 1:num.years,
                        X = exp(X),
                        y = exp(y)}
panel.production = Reduce(rbind, lapply(1:num.firms, gen.firm.data))
panel.production$Firm = as.factor(panel.production$Firm)
```
#### reflect.data *Data reflection for kernel smoothing*

#### Description

This function reflects data below minimum and above maximum for use in reducing endpoint bias in kernel smoothing.

#### Usage

reflect.data(X, y)

#### Arguments



#### Value

Returns a list with the following elements



```
data(univariate)
```

```
# Extract data
X <- as.matrix(univariate$x)
y <- univariate$y
# Reflect data
reflected.data <- reflect.data(X, y)
```

```
X.reflected <- reflected.data$X
y.reflected <- reflected.data$y
```

```
# Plot
library(ggplot2)
plot.df <- data.frame(X = X.reflected,
                      y = y.reflected,
                      data = rep(c("reflected", "actual", "reflected"), each = nrow(X)))
ggplot(plot.df, aes(X, y)) +
  geom_point(aes(color = data))
```
technical.efficiency.change

*Technical and efficiency change estimation*

#### Description

Estimates technical and efficiency change using SNFA

#### Usage

```
technical.efficiency.change(df, input.var.names, output.var.name,
  firm.var.name, time.var.name, method = "u")
```
#### Arguments



#### Details

This function decomposes change in productivity into efficiency and technical change, as in Fare et al. (1994), using smooth non-parametric frontier analysis. Denoting  $D_s(x_t, y_t)$  as the efficiency of the production plan in year t relative to the production frontier in year s, efficiency change for a given firm in year t is calculated as

$$
\frac{D_{t+1}(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})}{D_t(x_t, y_t)},
$$

and technical change is given by

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

$$
\left(\frac{D_t(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})}{D_{t+1}(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})} \times \frac{D_t(x_t, y_t)}{D_{t+1}(x_t, y_t)}\right)^{1/2}.
$$

#### Value

Returns a data.frame with the following columns



#### References

Fare R, Grosskopf S, Norris M, Zhang Z (1994). "Productivity Growth, Technical Progress, and Efficiency Change in Industrialized Countries." *The American Economic Review*, 84(1), 66-83.

```
data(panel.production)
results.df <- technical.efficiency.change(df = panel.production,
                                          input.var.names = c("X.1", "X.2", "X.3"),
                                          output.var.name = "y",
                                          firm.var.name = "Firm",
                                          time.var.name = "Year")
# Plot changes over time by firm
library(ggplot2)
ggplot(results.df, aes(Year, technical.change)) +
  geom_line(aes(color = Firm))
ggplot(results.df, aes(Year, efficiency.change)) +
  geom_line(aes(color = Firm))
ggplot(results.df, aes(Year, productivity.change)) +
  geom_line(aes(color = Firm))
```
<span id="page-19-0"></span>

#### Description

A dataset for illustrating univariate non-parametric boundary regressions and various constraints.

#### Usage

univariate

#### Format

A data frame with 50 observations of two variables.

x Input

y Output

#### Details

Generated with the following code:

```
set.seed(100)
N < -50x <- runif(N, 10, 100)
y \leftarrow sapply(x, function(x) 500 * x^0.25 -
                             dnorm(x, mean = 70, sd = 10) * 8000) -
                             abs(rnorm(N, sd = 20))y \le -y - \min(y) + 10df \leftarrow data.frame(x, y)
```
USMacro *US Macroeconomic Data*

#### Description

A dataset of real output, labor force, capital stock, wages, and interest rates for the U.S. between 1929 and 2014, as available. All nominal values converted to 2010 U.S. dollars using GDP price deflator.

#### Usage

USMacro

#### USMacro 21

### Format

A data frame with 89 observations of four variables.

Year Year

Y Real GDP, in billions of dollars

K Capital stock, in billions of dollars

K.price Annual cost of \$1 billion of capital, using 10-year treasury

L Labor force, in thousands of people

L.price Annual wage for one thousand people

#### Source

<https://fred.stlouisfed.org/>

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>Index

∗ datasets panel.production, [16](#page-15-0) univariate, [20](#page-19-0) USMacro, [20](#page-19-0)

allocative.efficiency, [2](#page-1-0)

dea, [4](#page-3-0)

fit.boundary, [6](#page-5-0) fit.mean, [9](#page-8-0) fit.sf, [12](#page-11-0)

H.inv.select, [14](#page-13-0)

panel.production, [16](#page-15-0)

reflect.data, [17](#page-16-0)

technical.efficiency.change, [18](#page-17-0)

univariate, [20](#page-19-0) USMacro, [20](#page-19-0)